# The mass-loss dominated lives of the most massive stars



Jorick S. Vink Goetz Graefener Joachim Bestenlehner

Armagh Observatory (Northern Ireland)











## Outline

- Introduction on mass loss
- Gamma-dependence (WNh stars)
- Z-dependence
- Teff-dependence

(GRBs) (LBVs and SNe II)

#### Mass Loss

## Peeling off the star → O → LBV → Wolf-Rayet → SN Ibc (Conti 1976)

#### Removal of angular momentum

(Langer 1998, Maeder & Meynet 2000)

## **Upper HRD- Massive Stars**



## **Upper HRD- Massive Stars**



### **Upper HRD- Massive Stars**



#### The most massive star?

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

# How massive is the most massive star?

$$g_{\rm rad} = \frac{\kappa F}{c} = \frac{\kappa L}{4\pi R^2 c}$$

# How massive is the most massive star?

$$g_{\rm rad} = \frac{\kappa F}{c} = \frac{\kappa L}{4\pi R^2 c}$$

$$g_{\rm grav} = \frac{GM}{R^2}$$

# How massive is the most massive star?

$$g_{\rm rad} = \frac{\kappa F}{c} = \frac{\kappa L}{4\pi R^2 c}$$

$$g_{\rm grav} = \frac{GM}{R^2}$$

$$\Gamma = \frac{g_{\rm rad}}{g_{\rm grav}} = \frac{\kappa L}{4\pi c G M}$$

## **Eddington Gamma Limit**

- Upper Mass Limit
- Wind Mass Loss
- WR+LBV Radii & SNe/GRB progenitor modelling

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

Confirmation "isolated" VFTS 682?

Bestenlehner et al. (2011)

VFTS: VIt Flames Tarantula Survey Evans et al. (2011)

PISN

Intermediate mass BH

- No Mass Loss?
- Modest loss?
- Strong Mass loss? Stellar BH
- Extreme loss? Neutron star

#### Line-driven winds

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### dM/dt = f(L,M,Z,Teff)

#### Monte Carlo approach

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Abbott & Lucy 1985

Vink et al. (2000) dM/dt = f(L,M,Z,Teff)

Vink et al: log(dM/dt) = -4.2
 Mass = 150 Msun lower PISN range

- Vink et al: log(dM/dt) = -4.2
  Mass = 150 Msun lower PISN range
- Previously: Evaporation!

 $\log(dM/dt) = -3.9$ 

- Vink et al: log(dM/dt) = -4.2
  Mass = 150 Msun lower PISN range
- Previously: log(dM/dt) = -3.9
  Evaporation!

 Extreme clumping log(dM/dt) = -4.9 Mass = 270 Msun upper PISN range

#### Gamma-dependence

### Mass loss - Gamma (L/M) Dependence

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Vink et al. (2011)

### Mass loss - Gamma (L/M) Dependence

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Vink et al. (2011)

Empirical Evidence: Graefener et al. (2011)

#### Z dependence

## **Progenitor for Collapsar model**

Woosley (1993)

- Rapidly Rotating
- No Hydrogen envelope: Wolf-Rayet

 But strong WR winds: Angular Momentum Loss

#### WR stars produce Carbon !

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Geneva models (Maeder & Meynet 1987)

#### WR stars produce Carbon !

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

Geneva models (Maeder & Meynet 1987)

#### Which element drives WR winds?

#### - If C $\rightarrow$ Mdot does NOT depend on host Z

#### - if Fe $\rightarrow$ Mass loss DOES scale with host Z

#### Z-dependence of WR winds

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Vink & de Koter (2005)

#### The WR radius problem

Observed WR stars are factor ~2 cooler than predicted

OPAL Fe-bump may inflate envelope

#### WR+LBV Envelope Inflation

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### T dependence

#### LBVs in the HRD

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Smith, Vink & de Koter (2004)

#### The mass loss of LBVs

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Data Stahl et al. (2001)

#### Vink & de Koter (2002)

#### Radio supernova lightcurves

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Radio supernova lightcurves

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Do LBVs explode?

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Trundle et al. (2008)

## Changing mass loss!

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

Groh & Vink (2011)

## Progenitor image of 2005gl

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Gal-Yam & Leonard (2009)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

Mass loss depends on Gamma (L/M)

#### Summary

- Mass loss depends on Gamma (L/M)
- Mass loss depends on Z (GRBs)
- Mass loss depends on T (LBVs & SNe II)

#### Summary

- Mass loss depends on Gamma (L/M)
- Mass loss depends on Z (GRBs)
- Mass loss depends on T (LBVs & SNe II)
- Links between WRs+LBVs & SN-types