

# Optical and Near-Infrared Flares of GRBs

Thomas Krühler (DARK) Thanks to D. A. Kann, J. Greiner, M. Nardini and many others Death of massive stars – SNe & GRBs @ Nikko 13/03/02



### Afterglow light-curves:





#### Working hypothesis:

-> A flare is anything that peaks between ~100 s and 10 days after the burst





#### Some pre-*Swift* events:





### Bright optical flashes due to Reverse Shock



Zhang+03, Kobayashi & Zhang 03



### (i) Reverse Shocks:



#### Lack of (many) fast decaying afterglows in Swift GRBs Kann+ 06, Melandri+ 08

#### Lack of (many) bright optical flares in Swift GRBs Roming+ 06





### (i) Reverse Shocks:

Prominent Reverse Shock is not detected in the UV/optical/bands, due to:

\* High magnetization (Mimica+ 09, Mizuno+ 09)

-> Suppressing the RS emission

- Low magnetic energy densities (Beloborodov+ 05, Zou+ 09)
  -> Shifting the RS to higher energies
- \*  $v_m$  of the RS at lower frequencies (Mundell+ 07, Melandri+ 09) -> Radio flares



# (ii) The onset of the afterglow

- Early rise (t<sup>0.5-4</sup>)
- Peaking at < 100 ... 1000 s
- Smooth turnover to decay
- Achromatic





e.g., Molinari+ 07, Krühler+ 08,09, Greiner+ 09, Perley+ 10, Melandri+ 10, Liang+ 10, Oates+ 10, Cucchiara+ 11



# (ii) The onset of the afterglow

Forward shock is dominating the optical emission most of the time:

- \* Lorentz-factor  $\Gamma$  = 100-500
  - -> Direct measurement of ultrarelativistic nature
- \* Deceleration radius R<sub>dec</sub> ~ 10<sup>17</sup>-10<sup>18</sup> cm
  -> Direct measurement of emission region
- \* Correlation between  $\Gamma$  and  $E_{\gamma,iso}$  (Liang+ 10)



### Afterglow light-curves:





Greiner+09

# (iii) Optical 'X-ray' flares

- Steep rise, steep decay (t<sup>5-12</sup>)
- Multiple events in single burst, to several ks
- Sharp turnover to decay
- Chromatic, extension of the X-ray spectrum







### (iii) Optical 'X-ray' flares



- Shape reminiscent of the large X-ray flares
- Paucity of detection:
  - -> Bright optical afterglow

-> Spectra peaking in the few keV range Burrows+ 05

Falcone+ 06





### Afterglow light-curves:



(iv) 'Jumps'







# - A closer look to GRB 081029



Morphology:

- Afterglow decay has already started
- Very steep rise
- Shallow to steep decay
- Late afterglow seems to connect well



Broad-band behavior:

- No/weak
  signature in the
  X-rays
- Late afterglow
  seem to track
  each connect
  well



Nardini+ 11



 Spectral evolution
 Strong reddening is observed during the jump

Not due to dust, but an intrinsic feature of the emission process





- Different times
- Afterglow
  already
  established
- Flare contrast
  is similar (does
  not correlate
  with time)



Pian+ 98, Pedersen+ 98, Stanek+ 06, Wozniak+ 06, Cenko+ 09 Volnova+10, Nardini+ 11, Kruehler+ 11, Greiner+ 12, Kann+ 12





Pian+ 98, Pedersen+ 98, Stanek+ 06, Wozniak+ 06, Cenko+ 09 Volnova+10, Nardini+ 11, Kruehler+ 11, Greiner+ 12, Kann+ 12

Steepness does not correlate with peak amplitude **Rise index is** different for different events Decay index is roughly similar



Observational constraints:

- FS established before jump
- Very steep rise (t<sup>6..12</sup>)
- Plateau shallow decay after peak (t<sup>0..1</sup>)
- Steeper decay after plateau (t<sup>0.5..1.5</sup>)
- Chromatic evolution (intrinsic reddening, no/ weak signature in the X-ray)
- Large time range (several hundred to several ten thousand s after  $T_0$ )
- Flux increase 1-2 mag (depends on afterglow)

Dark Cosmology Centre

# (iv) Jumps in theoretical models

- Refreshed Shocks
- Patchy Shells
- External medium inhomogenities
- Decouple jumps from afterglow emission (flares, multi-

### component, late prompt, ....)

(e.g., Rees & Meszaros 98, Panaitescu+ 98, Kumar & Piran 00, Wang & Loeb 00, Zhang & Meszaros 02, Lazzati+ 02, Granot+ 03, Guidorzi + 05, Peng+ 05, de Ugarte Postigo+ 05, Zhang+ 06, Nakar & Granot 07...)



loka+ 05

# (iv) Jumps in theoretical models

Dark Cosmology Centre



Dark Cosmology Centre

### (iv) Jumps in theoretical models

- Refreshed Shocks
- Patchy Shells
- external medium inhomogenities
- Decouple jumps from afterglow emission (flares, multi-

### component, late prompt, ....)

(e.g., Rees & Meszaros 98, Panaitescu+ 98, Kumar & Piran 00, Wang & Loeb 00, Zhang & Meszaros 02, Lazzati+ 02, Granot+ 03, Guidorzi + 05, Peng+ 05, de Ugarte Postigo+ 05, Zhang+ 06, Nakar & Granot 07...)



loka+ 05



# (iv) Jumps as refreshed shocks



- 2-shell collisions (Vlasis+ 2011)
- AMR simulations of a late/slow shell catching up on a Blandford-McKee shocked ISM
- Varying opening angle, Lorentzfactor, shell-energy



# Conclusions I

- Several physical origins for optical flares
- Different morphologies
- -> Reverse shock not prominent (but exist)
- -> Onset of the afterglow:
  - Achromatic ( $v_{\rm m} < v$ )
  - Common feature in all light-curves
  - Allows to put physical constraints on the properties and inner engine models
  - $\Gamma$  = 100-500 (rarely above)

$$R_{dec} \simeq 10^{17} - 10^{18} \text{ cm}$$

# Dark Cosmology Centre

# Conclusions II

### -> True flares:

- Optical/NIR counterpart of X-ray flares
- Share morphologies
- Extension of the X-ray spectrum to soft energies
- Typically hidden under a bright afterglow component
- Provide compelling evidence for a prolonged central engine activity



# **Conclusions III**

-> Jumps as refreshed shocks in 2-shell collisions:

- Not a rare feature after the FS peak
- Extremely steep, but varying rise index, slow decay (Opening angle)
- Different times (*Relative Lorentz-factors*)
- Chromatic evolution, no/weak X-ray counterpart (shock microphysics)
- Super-imposed on afterglow component (Energy/Lorentz-factor of second shell)