Spectropolarimetry of Type Ib/c Supernovae

Masaomi Tanaka (National Astronomical Observatory of Japan)

Subaru/FOCAS

Multi-D Explosion Geometry of SNe

Rotation + magnetic field

Neutrino + convection





Observational test

 Line profile in nebular spectra (=> talks by P. Mazzali and K. Maeda)

Continuum polarization

Line polarization





(Leonard+06, Chornock+10)

Confusion with interstellar polarization...





Diagnostic of the Geometry



Spectropolarimetry of SNe with Subaru/FOCAS

PI: M. Tanaka

Co-I: K. S. Kawabata, T. Hattori. E. Pian, K. Maeda, M. Yamanaka, K. Nomoto, P.A. Mazzali, K. Aoki, T. Sasaki, and M. Iye



Object	Туре	Date	Epoch	Mag	Quality	Ref.
SN 2005bf	lb	2005 May	+8	16	Good	MT+09
SN 2007gr	lc	2007 Ѕер	+21	14	Good	MT+08
SN 2009dc	la (sp-Ch)	2009 Apr/Jul	+6/+90	15/17	Good	MT+I0
SN 2009jf	lb	2009 Oct	+9.3	15	Good	in prep.
OT U2773	LBV?	2009 Oct		17	ISP	
SN 2009kk	la	2009 Oct	+2	15	Good	
SN 2009mi	lc	2010 Jan	+26.5	16	Good	in prep.
SN 2010ah	lc broad	2010 Mar	~30 (disc)	19	Not good	
SN 2010cn	Ic broad/IIb	2010 May	2 (disc)	18	Good	in prep.





Object	Туре	3D ?	Ref.
SN 2002ap	lc broad	YES	Kawabata+02, Leonard+02, Wang+03
SN 2005bf	lb	YES	Maund+07, MT+09
SN 2007gr	Ic	Νο	MT+08
SN 2008D	Ib	YES	Maund+09
SN 2009jf	lb	YES	MT+ in prep.
SN 2009mi	lc	YES	MT+ in prep.

3D signature is quite common



Size of clump?











Explosion Geometry: Spectropolarimetric View



Not axisymmetric (not 2D, but 3D)

• 3D signature is common

 Suppose 3D perturbation on ID, the size of each clump is large (>25% of photosphere)



Summary

- Spectropolarimetry => 3D tomography
- Explosion geometry
 - Axisymmetry is broken
 - 3D signature is common
- Implication for the explosion mechanism
 - Large scale convection
 - Overall 2D structure + 3D perturbation?