





Gravitational Waves from GRBs

Alessandra Corsi

LIGO lab, California Institute of Technology

On behalf of the LSC/Virgo collab. & partner telescopes (PTF, PI of the Sky, QUEST, ROTSE III, Sky mapper, TAROT, ZADKO, Liverpool)

IAU 279- Nikko- March 2012

Unraveling the nature of GRBs: joint EM-GW studies



How to detect GWs?



GWs change the distance between free falling masses as measured by a light beam, thus changing the amount of light collected on the output photodetector



$$\delta l/l = h(t) = F_+h_+(t) + F_\times h_\times(t)$$

$$h_{rss} = \sqrt{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(h_+^2(t) + h_\times^2(t)\right) dt}.$$

rss amplitude of the incoh. sum of the contributions from the + and x pol.

 $h_{C}=|\tilde{h}(f)| f \sim \sqrt{N} h$ "characteristic amplitude"

LIGO and Virgo GW detectors



LIGO Hanford (US)



LIGO Livingston (US)

Virgo (Pisa, Italy)

<u>GW from GRBs: order of magnitude estimates</u>



Kobayashi & Meszaros 2003 (and Fryer et al. 2002) UL estimate assumes 1% of tot mass in GW during merger, 5% in BH ring-down

Distance range used for shadowed regions in plot:

- 50 Mpc 1 Gpc for NS-NS;
- 20-100 Mpc for collapsar.

445 Mpc: optimal horizon for NS-NS in adv Era, expected ~40/year (but large scatter in predictions: 0.4-1000 /yr - see Abadie et al. 2010 and ref therein).







Triggered searches: EM ---> GW



GW bursts from GRBs in LIGO 55 and VSR1



- 137 GRBs during S5/VSR1 (2005 Nov. 4 to 2007 Oct. 1). On source windows of [-2; +1] min around trigger (yields ~2x improvement in sensitivity with respect to un-triggered searches).
- No GW candidate. Simulated short (<1 s) GW signals to set ULs. Best value: $h_{rss}=1.75\times10^{-22}$ Hz^{-1/2} (@150 Hz) \rightarrow Lower bound on distance assuming an energy of 0.01 M_oc² in GWs: D>26 Mpc.

<u>GW in-spirals from GRBs in LIGO S5 and Virgo VSR1</u>

- Search for GW in-spiral signals from short GRBs during S5/VSR1.
- No statistically significant GW candidates in on-source window of [-5; +1) s around GRB trigger time.

Exclusion distance to each GRB for compact binary progenitors with masses: $m_1=[1; 3) M_{\odot}$ and $m_2=[1; 4) M_{\odot}$ (NS-NS system) or $m_2=[7; 10) M_{\odot}$ (NS-BH system).

Abadie et al. ApJ, 715, 1453 (2010)



Implications for the origin of GRB 070201 and GRB 051103

- GRB 070201 in M31 (770 kpc)? GRB 051103 in M81 (3.6 Mpc)? (e.g. Ofek et al. 2006, Ofek et al. 2008, Hurley et al. 2010)
- No GW candidates in on-source window
- NS-NS merger: M31 excluded 99% conf. for 070201 (D<3.5 Mpc at 90%); M81 excluded at 71% for 051103 (or 98% with 30deg max inclination).
- UL do not exclude an SGR in M31/M81 (Energy UL for un-modeled GW bursts $\geq 10^{51}$ erg, above e.g. Ioka 2001, and max GW energy by Corsi & Owen 2011, ~ 10^{48} erg).



Mazets et al 2008: UV image of the M31 galaxy (Thilker et al. 2005) and the 3 IPN error box of GRB 070201.

Abbott et al. ApJ, 681, 1419 (2008); Abadie et al. 2012, arXiv1201.4413



<u>"LOOC-UP" project</u>



LOOC-UP "Locating and Observing Optical Counterparts to Unmodeled Pulses" of GWs. Use of robotic, wide field optical telescopes for followup observations of LIGO-Virgo triple coincidences.



Main challenge: several tens of sqr degs for GWs localization error, and error-area may spread on disjoint patches of the sky. Galaxies in the nearby Universe (<50 Mpc) used to prioritize tiles.

Abadie et al. 2012, to appear in A&A, arXiv:1109.3498

<u>On/off-axis GRBs as LOOC-UP targets</u>

N. of transients and sub. artifacts in tens of sqr deg is high (e.g., PTF: ~30-150 per 100-200 sqr deg after selective cuts; Bloom et a.l 2011). But, transients NOT belonging to the "typical" categories (varstars, AGNs, novae, "typical" SN), are the most interesting as GW sources (given LIGO/Virgo sensitivity):

- On-axis GRB optical afterglows (e.g. Kann et al. 2011)

- Off-axis GRB afterglow (e.g. van Eerten 2010/11 for R-band LC predictions): Discovery would yield a dramatic confirmation of the "jet model" for GRBs, could map out the beaming distribution, provide inputs to models of relativistic outflows.

- NS-NS coalescences observed via their optical SN-like emission (e.g. Kulkarni 2005, Metzger et al. 2010).





Swift results: impact on GW searches

- Magnetar rather than BH may form in explosion (e.g. GRB060218/SN2006aj, Mazzali et al. 2006).

- Magnetar pumping energy into the fireball (e.g. Dai & Lu 1998, Zhang & Meszaros, 2006)? An associated bar-like GW signal (e.g. Lai & Shapiro 1995, Corsi & Meszaros 2009)?



log(Time since burst)

<u>Secular bar-mode instability in newly born magnetar?</u>



Non-axisymmetric instabilities in rapidly rotating fluid bodies

- kinetic-to-gravitational potential energy ratio, β =T/|W|
- B > 0.27 : dynamical instability (possibly a burst-type signal)

 $-\beta$ > 0.14 : I=m=2 "bar"-mode oscillations secularly unstable due to e.g. gravitational radiation (e.g. Lai & Shapiro 1995) \rightarrow sequence of compressible Riemann-S ellipsoids

<u>GW signal associated to EM plateau</u>

 β =0.20 n=1 M=1.4 M_o R=20 km B=10¹⁴ G SNR

SNR_{match}=5 @ d=100-150 Mpc



Conclusion

• GRBs are promising GW sources, EM studies can provide very helpful but indirect constraints on the nature of the progenitor.

• Joint GWs studies in coincidence with GRBs are already happening: LIGO-Virgo detectors have been actively following GRB triggers during these years, and first LOOC-UP experiment performed.

• Prospects for the future: more results coming soon from S6/VSR2-3 data; more searches possible in the future (e.g. plateau); starting from 2014/2015, advanced LIGO/Virgo (10 times better sensitivity) GW detectors will provide a totally new view of the Universe.

The End

(Some) possible scenarios for GW production in GRBs

Chirp signal (NS-NS/BH-NS binaries) in short GRBs: most promising for detection in adv LIGO/Virgo Era (e.g. Flanagan & Hughes 1998 for SNR estimates; Kochanek & Piran 1993, Abadie et al. 2010 and ref therein for GW detection rates).

Collapsing core or disk may fragment to produce two or more compact objects (e.g. Fryer et al. 2002). May be significant source of GWs; possible chirp signature similar to a coalescing NS binary (e.g. Davies et al. 2002, Piro & Pfahl 2002) or burst of GWs in a "merger"-type signal (e.g. Kobayashi & Meszaros 2003).

Core or disk may undergo non-axisymmetric instabilities (e.g. dynamical bar-mode instability; Fryer et al. 2002, Shibata 2003, Kobayashi and Meszaros 2003, Baiotti et al. 2007, Dimmelmeier et al. 2008, ... etc. for recent reviews: e.g. Andersson 2003, Ott 2009).

 Nascent BH quite distorted from quiescent Kerr form (e.g. Fryer at el. 2002).
Distortion drives GW radiation as BH settles down to Kerr state (ringing waves; e.g. Echeverria 1993, Shibata & Taniguchi 2006, ...).

If magnetar formed and survives on longer timescales, secular bar-mode instability (e.g. Lai & Shapiro 1995, Shibata et al. 2004, Ou et al. 2004), may be coupled to obs. signatures of energy injection in fireball (Corsi & Meszaros 2009).

<u>Autumn-winter 2010 LOOC-UP runs</u>



Name	Run	Tiles per Trigger	Target Alerts Per Week	Triggers Imaged
Palomar Transient Factory	Autumn	10	1/3	1
Pi of the Sky	Autumn	1	1	1
QUEST	Both	3	1	5
ROTSE III	Autumn	1	1	5
SkyMapper	Autumn	~9	1	3
TAROT	Both	1	1	3
Zadko Telescope	Autumn	5	1	2
Liverpool Telescope	Autumn	1	1	1
LOFAR	Autumn	1	1	2
Swift	Both	5	1/4	2

Abadie et al. 2012, to appear in A&A, arXiv:1109.3498

<u>Summary of my talk</u>

- GWs by GRBs: predictions/expectations for ground-based interferometers (LIGO/Virgo)

- GRB "triggered" searches: GW data analyzed in coincidence with GRB triggers

- "LOOC-UP": search for optical counterparts of GW triggers

- Prospects: future analyses for the advanced detectors Era